

Moments of the characteristic polynomial in the three ensembles of random matrices

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2001 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 4627

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/34/22/304>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.95

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 08:59

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

Moments of the characteristic polynomial in the three ensembles of random matrices

Madan Lal Mehta¹ and Jean-Marie Normand

CEA/Saclay, Service de Physique Théorique, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

E-mail: mehta@spht.saclay.cea.fr and norjm@spht.saclay.cea.fr

Received 25 January 2001, in final form 29 March 2001

Abstract

Moments of the characteristic polynomial of a random matrix taken from any of the three ensembles, orthogonal, unitary or symplectic, are given either as a determinant or a Pfaffian or as a sum of determinants. For Gaussian ensembles, on comparing two expressions of the same moment one obtains two remarkable identities, one between an $n \times n$ determinant and an $m \times m$ determinant and another between the Pfaffian of a $2n \times 2n$ anti-symmetric matrix and a sum of $m \times m$ determinants.

PACS number: 0590

1. Introduction

Three ensembles of random matrices A have been extensively investigated, namely when A is real symmetric, complex Hermitian or quaternion self-dual. Recently, the behaviour of their characteristic polynomial $\det(xI - A)$ has been of interest and we study here its integer moments. The same method can be adapted to compute the crossed averages $\langle \prod_{j=1}^m \det(x_j I - A) \rangle$.

Consider a non-negative function $w(x)$ with all its moments finite

$$\int x^m w(x) dx < \infty \quad m = 0, 1, \dots \quad (1.1)$$

With this weight function $w(x)$ let us define three scalar products, one symmetric and two anti-symmetric, as [1]

$$\langle f, g \rangle_2 := \int f(x)g(x)w(x) dx = \langle g, f \rangle_2 \quad (1.2)$$

$$\langle f, g \rangle_4 := \int [f(x)g'(x) - f'(x)g(x)]w(x) dx = -\langle g, f \rangle_4 \quad (1.3)$$

$$\langle f, g \rangle_1 := \iint f(x)g(y)\text{sign}(y-x)\sqrt{w(x)w(y)} dx dy = -\langle g, f \rangle_1 \quad (1.4)$$

¹ Member of CNRS, France.

and introduce polynomials C_n , Q_n and R_n of degree n , satisfying the orthogonality relations

$$\langle C_n, C_m \rangle_2 = c_n \delta_{n,m} \quad (1.5)$$

$$\langle Q_{2n}, Q_{2m} \rangle_4 = \langle Q_{2n+1}, Q_{2m+1} \rangle_4 = 0 \quad \langle Q_{2n}, Q_{2m+1} \rangle_4 = q_n \delta_{n,m} \quad (1.6)$$

$$\langle R_{2n}, R_{2m} \rangle_1 = \langle R_{2n+1}, R_{2m+1} \rangle_1 = 0 \quad \langle R_{2n}, R_{2m+1} \rangle_1 = r_n \delta_{n,m}. \quad (1.7)$$

We will take these polynomials to be monic, i.e. the coefficient of the highest power will be taken to be one. The above conditions determine completely the $C_n(x)$, $Q_{2n}(x)$ and $R_{2n}(x)$, while $Q_{2n+1}(x)$ can be replaced by $Q_{2n+1}(x) + aQ_{2n}(x)$ with an arbitrary constant a . Similarly, $R_{2n+1}(x)$ can be replaced by $R_{2n+1}(x) + aR_{2n}(x)$ with arbitrary a . We will choose these constants so that the coefficient of x^{2n} in $Q_{2n+1}(x)$ and in $R_{2n+1}(x)$ is zero, thus also fixing them completely.

The subscript $\beta = 1, 2$ or 4 is used to remind us that it was convenient to use these polynomials to express the correlation functions of the eigenvalues of real symmetric, complex Hermitian and quaternion self-dual random matrices, respectively [2]. The polynomials $C_j(x)$ are said to be orthogonal while the polynomials $Q_j(x)$ and $R_j(x)$ are said to be skew-orthogonal.

In what follows n and m are non-negative integers. It can be checked that all the given formulas remain valid on replacing a non-existing sum by 0, a non-existing product, integral or determinant by 1 and forgetting non-existing rows or columns in a matrix.

It has long been known that the orthogonal polynomial $C_n(x)$ can be expressed as a multiple integral [3]

$$C_n(x) \propto \int \cdots \int \Delta_n^2(\mathbf{y}) \prod_{j=1}^n [(x - y_j)w(y_j) dy_j] \quad (1.8)$$

where

$$\mathbf{y} := \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \quad (1.9)$$

and

$$\Delta_n(\mathbf{y}) := \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (y_k - y_j). \quad (1.10)$$

Similar multiple integral expressions for the skew-orthogonal polynomials $Q_n(x)$ and $R_n(x)$ were recently discovered by Eynard [4]

$$Q_{2n}(x) \propto \int \cdots \int \Delta_n^4(\mathbf{y}) \prod_{j=1}^n [(x - y_j)^2 w(y_j) dy_j] \quad (1.11)$$

$$Q_{2n+1}(x) \propto \int \cdots \int \Delta_n^4(\mathbf{y}) \left(x + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \right) \prod_{j=1}^n [(x - y_j)^2 w(y_j) dy_j] \quad (1.12)$$

$$R_{2n}(x) \propto \int \cdots \int |\Delta_{2n}(\mathbf{y})| \prod_{j=1}^{2n} [(x - y_j) \sqrt{w(y_j)} dy_j] \quad (1.13)$$

$$R_{2n+1}(x) \propto \int \cdots \int |\Delta_{2n}(\mathbf{y})| \left(x + \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \right) \prod_{j=1}^{2n} [(x - y_j) \sqrt{w(y_j)} dy_j]. \quad (1.14)$$

It is also known [5] that

$$I_2(n, m; x) := \int \cdots \int \Delta_n^2(\mathbf{y}) \prod_{j=1}^n [(x - y_j)^m w(y_j) dy_j] \quad (1.15)$$

can be expressed as an $m \times m$ determinant. We report here that similar integrals

$$I_\beta(n, m; x) := \int \cdots \int |\Delta_n(\mathbf{y})|^\beta \prod_{j=1}^n \{(x - y_j)^m [w(y_j)]^{1/(1+\delta_{\beta,1})}\} \, d\mathbf{y}_j \quad (1.16)$$

for $\beta = 1$ or 4 , can also be expressed as a sum of $m \times m$ determinants. They are the m th moments of the characteristic polynomial of an $n \times n$ random matrix A

$$\langle \det(xI - A)^m \rangle = \frac{I_\beta(n, m; x)}{I_\beta(n, 0; x)} \quad (1.17)$$

the parameter β taking the values $1, 2$ or 4 according to whether A is real symmetric, complex Hermitian or quaternion self-dual and the probability density of the eigenvalues \mathbf{y} being $|\Delta_n(\mathbf{y})|^\beta \prod_{j=1}^n [w(y_j)]^{1/(1+\delta_{\beta,1})}$. The special case $w(y) = e^{-ay^2}$ arises when the probability density of the random matrix is invariant under any change of basis and the algebraically independent parameters specifying the matrix elements are also statistically independent.

Instead of the real symmetric, complex Hermitian and quaternion self-dual matrices some authors considered the corresponding ensembles of unitary matrices [6]. The m th moment of the characteristic polynomial in $x = \exp(i\alpha)$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \cdots \int_0^{2\pi} \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} |e^{i\theta_j} - e^{i\theta_k}|^\beta \prod_{j=1}^n [|e^{i\alpha} - e^{i\theta_j}|^m \, d\theta_j] \quad (1.18)$$

is a constant independent of α that was evaluated using Selberg's integral [6]. In the limit of large n with fixed m , this constant has a close relation with the moments of the absolute values of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(z)$ or of the L -functions on the critical line $\text{Re } z = 1/2$. Similarly, the moments of the derivative with respect to x of the characteristic polynomial are related to the moments of the absolute values of the derivative $\zeta'(z)$ on the critical line [6].

In the case of the real symmetric, complex Hermitian and quaternion self-dual matrices, which are the only ones we consider here, the m th moment of the characteristic polynomial is a polynomial of order mn , and we do not know whether their zeros are related to the Riemann zeta function.

2. Calculation of $I_\beta(n, m; x)$

The case $\beta = 2$ is the simplest involving the better known determinants, while the other two cases $\beta = 1$ and 4 are similar, involving the less familiar Pfaffians.

The $I_\beta(n, m; x)$ can be expressed in two different forms:

- (i) a determinant or a Pfaffian of a matrix of size that depends on n , i.e.
 - an $n \times n$ determinant in the case $\beta = 2$;
 - a Pfaffian of a $2n \times 2n$ anti-symmetric matrix in the case $\beta = 4$;
 - a Pfaffian of an $n \times n$ (resp. $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$) anti-symmetric matrix in the case $\beta = 1$ for n even (resp. odd);
- (ii) a sum of determinants of matrices of size that depends on m , i.e.
 - an $m \times m$ determinant in the case $\beta = 2$;
 - a sum of $m \times m$ determinants in the case $\beta = 4$ for m even;
 - a sum of $m \times m$ (resp. $(m + 1) \times (m + 1)$) determinants in the case $\beta = 1$ for n even (resp. odd).

The usefulness of the expressions above, depending on the form of the weight function $w(x)$, may be limited. When the weight is Gaussian, two of the forms supplying alternate expressions for $I_2(n, m; x)$ as $n \times n$ and $m \times m$ determinants lead us to an interesting known identity. Similarly, the two expressions of $I_4(n, m; x)$ lead us to an identity between a Pfaffian of a $2n \times 2n$ anti-symmetric matrix and a sum of $m \times m$ determinants.

2.1. $I_\beta(n, m; x)$ as a determinant or a Pfaffian of a matrix of size depending on n

Choose $P_j(x)$ and $\pi_j(x)$ to be any monic polynomials of degree j .

2.1.1. The case $\beta = 2$. Write $\Delta_n(\mathbf{y})$ as an $n \times n$ Vandermonde determinant

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_n(\mathbf{y}) &= \det [y_j^{k-1}]_{j,k=1,\dots,n} = \det [P_{k-1}(y_j)]_{j,k=1,\dots,n} \\ &= \det [\pi_{k-1}(y_j)]_{j,k=1,\dots,n}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.1)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int \cdots \int \Delta_n^2(\mathbf{y}) \prod_{j=1}^n [f(y_j) dy_j] &= \int \cdots \int \det [P_{k-1}(y_j)] \det [\pi_{k-1}(y_j)] \prod_{j=1}^n [f(y_j) dy_j] \\ &= n! \int \cdots \int \det [\pi_{k-1}(y_j)] \prod_{j=1}^n [P_{j-1}(y_j) f(y_j) dy_j] \\ &= n! \int \cdots \int \det [P_{j-1}(y_j) \pi_{k-1}(y_j) f(y_j)] \prod_{j=1}^n dy_j \\ &= n! \det [\phi_{2;j,k}]_{j,k=0,\dots,n-1} \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.2)$$

where

$$\phi_{2;j,k} := \int P_j(y) \pi_k(y) f(y) dy. \quad (2.1.3)$$

In the first three lines of the above equation the indices j, k take values from 1 to n . In the second line we have replaced the first determinant by a single term, which is allowed by the symmetry of the integrand in the y_j ; while the last line is obtained by integrating over the y_j , each of them occurring only in one column.

Setting $f(y) = (x - y)^m w(y)$, we obtain a first form of $I_2(n, m; x)$ in terms of an $n \times n$ determinant

$$I_2(n, m; x) = n! \det [\phi_{2;j,k}(x)]_{j,k=0,\dots,n-1} \quad (2.1.4)$$

where

$$\phi_{2;j,k}(x) := \int P_j(y) \pi_k(y) (x - y)^m w(y) dy. \quad (2.1.5)$$

2.1.2. The case $\beta = 4$. Write $\Delta_n^4(\mathbf{y})$ as a $2n \times 2n$ determinant²

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_n^4(\mathbf{y}) &= \det [y_j^k, ky_j^{k-1}]_{j=1,\dots,n; k=0,\dots,2n-1} \\ &= \det [P_k(y_j), P'_k(y_j)]_{j=1,\dots,n; k=0,\dots,2n-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.6)$$

² See, e.g., [1] chapter 7.1.2.

Each variable occurs in two columns, a column of monic polynomials and a column of its derivatives. Expanding the determinant and integrating we see that [7]

$$\int \cdots \int \Delta_n^4(\mathbf{y}) \prod_{j=1}^n f(y_j) dy_j = \sum \pm \phi_{4;j_1,j_2} \phi_{4;j_3,j_4} \cdots \phi_{4;j_{2n-1},j_{2n}} \tag{2.1.7}$$

$$= n! \text{pf}[\phi_{4;j,k}]_{j,k=0,\dots,2n-1} \tag{2.1.8}$$

where

$$\phi_{4;j,k} := \int [P_j(y)P'_k(y) - P'_j(y)P_k(y)]f(y) dy = -\phi_{4;k,j}. \tag{2.1.9}$$

In equation (2.1.7) the sum is over all permutations $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 2n-1 \\ j_1 & j_2 & \cdots & j_{2n} \end{pmatrix}$ of the $2n$ indices $(0, 1, \dots, 2n-1)$ with $j_1 < j_2, \dots, j_{2n-1} < j_{2n}$, the sign being plus or minus according to whether this permutation is even or odd. In equation (2.1.8) pf means the Pfaffian.

Setting $f(y) = (x - y)^m w(y)$ as before, we obtain a first form of $I_4(n, m; x)$ in terms of the Pfaffian of a $2n \times 2n$ anti-symmetric matrix

$$I_4(n, m; x) = n! \text{pf}[\phi_{4;j,k}(x)]_{j,k=0,\dots,2n-1} \tag{2.1.10}$$

where

$$\phi_{4;j,k}(x) := \int [P_j(y)P'_k(y) - P'_j(y)P_k(y)](x - y)^m w(y) dy. \tag{2.1.11}$$

2.1.3. *The case $\beta = 1$.* The difficulty of the absolute value of $\Delta_n(\mathbf{y})$ can be overcome by ordering the variables. Writing

$$\Delta_n(\mathbf{y}) \prod_{j=1}^n f(y_j) = \det [P_{k-1}(y_j)f(y_j)]_{j,k=1,\dots,n} \tag{2.1.12}$$

with $f(y) = (x - y)^m \sqrt{w(y)}$ and using a result of de Bruijn [8]³, one obtains a first form of $I_1(n, m; x)$ in terms of the Pfaffian of an $n \times n$ (resp. $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$) anti-symmetric matrix for n even (resp. odd)

$$I_1(n, m; x) = \begin{cases} n! \text{pf}[\phi_{1;j,k}(x)]_{j,k=0,\dots,n-1} & n \text{ even} \\ n! \text{pf} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{1;j,k}(x) & \alpha_j(x) \\ -\alpha_k(x) & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{j,k=0,\dots,n-1} & n \text{ odd} \end{cases} \tag{2.1.13}$$

where

$$\phi_{1;j,k}(x) := \iint P_j(z)P_k(y)(x - z)^m (x - y)^m \sqrt{w(z)w(y)} \text{sign}(y - z) dz dy \tag{2.1.14}$$

and

$$\alpha_j(x) := \int P_j(y)(x - y)^m \sqrt{w(y)} dy. \tag{2.1.15}$$

Another way to obtain the same result is [7] to order the variables, integrate over the alternate ones, remove the ordering over the remaining alternate variables and observe that the result is given by equations (2.1.13)–(2.1.15).

³ See [8], equations (1.2), (4.2)–(4.4) with $\phi_k(y_j) = P_{k-1}(y_j)(x - y_j)^m \sqrt{w(y_j)}$.

2.1.4. An alternative of the expressions above for $\beta = 1, 2$ and 4. If one replaces the monic polynomials $P_j(y)$ and $\pi_j(y)$ by $P_j(y - x)$ and $\pi_j(y - x)$, equations (2.1.4), (2.1.10) and (2.1.13) become

$$I_2(n, m; x) = (-1)^{mn} n! \det [\varphi_{2;j,k}(x)]_{j,k=0,\dots,n-1} \tag{2.1.16}$$

$$I_4(n, m; x) = (-1)^{mn} n! \text{pf} [\varphi_{4;j,k}(x)]_{j,k=0,\dots,2n-1} \tag{2.1.17}$$

$$I_1(n, m; x) = \begin{cases} n! \text{pf} [\varphi_{1;j,k}(x)]_{j,k=0,\dots,n-1} & n \text{ even} \\ (-1)^m n! \text{pf} \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_{1;j,k}(x) & \theta_j(x) \\ -\theta_k(x) & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{j,k=0,\dots,n-1} & n \text{ odd} \end{cases} \tag{2.1.18}$$

where

$$\varphi_{2;j,k}(x) := \int P_j(y - x) \pi_k(y - x) (y - x)^m w(y) dy \tag{2.1.19}$$

$$\varphi_{4;j,k}(x) := \int [P_j(y - x) P'_k(y - x) - P'_j(y - x) P_k(y - x)] (y - x)^m w(y) dy \tag{2.1.20}$$

$$\varphi_{1;j,k}(x) := \iint P_j(z - x) P_k(y - x) [(z - x)(y - x)]^m \sqrt{w(z)w(y)} \text{sign}(y - z) dz dy \tag{2.1.21}$$

$$\theta_j(x) := \int P_j(y - x) (y - x)^m \sqrt{w(y)} dy. \tag{2.1.22}$$

2.2. $I_\beta(n, m; x)$ as determinants of size depending on m

2.2.1. The case $\beta = 2$. For $I_2(n, m; x)$, equation (1.15), let us write the integrand as the product of two determinants: $\Delta_n(\mathbf{y})$ given in equation (2.1.1) and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_n(\mathbf{y}) \prod_{j=1}^n (x - y_j)^m &= b \det \left[y_j^{k-1}, \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^l x^{k-1} \right]_{\substack{j=1,\dots,n \\ k=1,\dots,n+m \\ l=0,\dots,m-1}} \\ &= b \det [P_{k-1}(y_j), P_{k-1}^{(l)}(x)]_{\substack{j=1,\dots,n \\ k=1,\dots,n+m \\ l=0,\dots,m-1}} \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.1}$$

where

$$b = \left(\prod_{l=0}^{m-1} l! \right)^{-1} \tag{2.2.2}$$

$P_k(x)$ is any monic polynomial of degree k and $P_k^{(l)}(x)$ is its l th derivative. Expression (2.2.1) is an $(m + n) \times (m + n)$ determinant, the last m columns of which are $P_k(x)$ and its successive derivatives⁴. As the integrand in equation (1.15) is symmetric in the y_j , we can replace the first $n \times n$ determinant by a single term and multiply the result by $n!$

$$I_2(n, m; x) = b n! \int \cdots \int \det [P_{k-1}(y_j), P_{k-1}^{(l)}(x)]_{j=1,\dots,n} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ k=1,\dots,n+m \\ l=0,\dots,m-1}}^n [P_{j-1}(y_j) w(y_j) dy_j]$$

⁴ See, e.g., [1] chapter 7.1.2.

$$= b n! \int \cdots \int \det [P_{j-1}(y_j) P_{k-1}(y_j) w(y_j), P_{k-1}^{(l)}(x)]_{\substack{j=1, \dots, n \\ k=1, \dots, n+m \\ l=0, \dots, m-1}} \prod_{j=1}^n dy_j. \tag{2.2.3}$$

As each variable y_j occurs only in one column, we can integrate over them independently

$$I_2(n, m; x) = b n! \det \left[\int P_{j-1}(y) P_{k-1}(y) w(y) dy, P_{k-1}^{(l)}(x) \right]_{\substack{j=1, \dots, n \\ k=1, \dots, n+m \\ l=0, \dots, m-1}}. \tag{2.2.4}$$

If we choose the polynomials $P_j(x)$ to be the orthogonal polynomials $C_j(x)$, equation (1.5), then one obtains a second form for $I_2(n, m; x)$

$$I_2(n, m; x) = b n! c_0 \cdots c_{n-1} \det [C_{n+k}^{(l)}(x)]_{k,l=0, \dots, m-1} \tag{2.2.5}$$

an $m \times m$ determinant whose first column consists of $C_{n+k}(x)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, m - 1$, and the other $m - 1$ columns are the successive derivatives of the first column. Therefore from equation (1.17)

$$\langle \det(xI - A)^m \rangle = b \det [C_{n+k}^{(l)}(x)]_{k,l=0, \dots, m-1}. \tag{2.2.6}$$

This result appears in [5] as equation (15) apart from a sign which seems to be wrong.

2.2.2. *The case $\beta = 4$.* This method applies only for even moments. For $I_4(n, 2m; x)$, equation (1.16), one can write the integrand as a single determinant⁵

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_n^4(\mathbf{y}) \prod_{j=1}^n (x - y_j)^{2m} &= b \det \left[y_j^k, k y_j^{k-1}, \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^l x^k \right]_{\substack{j=1, \dots, n \\ k=0, \dots, n+m-1 \\ l=0, \dots, m-1}} \\ &= b \det [P_k(y_j), P_k'(y_j), P_k^{(l)}(x)]_{\substack{j=1, \dots, n \\ k=0, \dots, n+m-1 \\ l=0, \dots, m-1}} \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.7}$$

where b is given by equation (2.2.2). Each variable y_j occurs in two columns. Expanding the determinant and integrating one sees that the result is a sum of products of the form

$$\pm b a_{s_1, s_2} \cdots a_{s_{2n-1}, s_{2n}} \det [P_{j_k}^{(l-1)}(x)]_{k,l=1, \dots, m} \tag{2.2.8}$$

with

$$a_{j,k} := \int [P_j(y) P_k'(y) - P_j'(y) P_k(y)] w(y) dy = \langle P_j, P_k \rangle_4 \tag{2.2.9}$$

the indices $s_1, \dots, s_{2n}, j_1, \dots, j_m$ are all distinct, chosen from $0, 1, \dots, 2n + m - 1$ and the sign is plus or minus according to whether the permutation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 2n-1 & 2n & \cdots & 2n+m-1 \\ s_1 & s_2 & \cdots & s_{2n} & j_1 & \cdots & j_m \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.2.10}$$

is even or odd. If we choose the polynomials $P_j(x)$ to be the skew-orthogonal polynomials $Q_j(x)$, equation (1.6), then all the $a_{s,j}$ except $a_{2s, 2s+1} = q_s$ will be zero and we obtain a second form of $I_4(n, 2m; x)$ in terms of a sum of $m \times m$ determinants

$$I_4(n, 2m; x) = b n! \sum_{(s)} q_{s_1} \cdots q_{s_n} \det [Q_{j_k}^{(l-1)}(x)]_{k,l=1, \dots, m} \tag{2.2.11}$$

where the sum is over all choices of $s_1 < \cdots < s_n$ such that $2s_1, 2s_1 + 1, \dots, 2s_n, 2s_n + 1, j_1, \dots, j_m$ are all the indices from 0 to $2n + m - 1$ and moreover $j_1 < \cdots < j_m$.

⁵ See, e.g., [1] chapter 7.1.2

2.2.3. *The case $\beta = 1$.* For $I_1(n, m; x)$, equation (1.16), the absolute value sign of $\Delta_n(\mathbf{y})$ is the main difficulty. Ordering the variables and using the same method as in section 2.2.2 above⁶, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1(n, m; x) &= \int \cdots \int |\Delta_n(\mathbf{y})| \prod_{j=1}^n [(x - y_j)^m \sqrt{w(y_j)} dy_j] \\
 &= n! \int \cdots \int_{y_1 \leq \cdots \leq y_n} \Delta_n(\mathbf{y}) \prod_{j=1}^n [(x - y_j)^m \sqrt{w(y_j)} dy_j] \\
 &= b n! \int \cdots \int_{y_1 \leq \cdots \leq y_n} \det [P_k(y_j), P_k^{(l)}(x)]_{\substack{j=1, \dots, n \\ k=0, \dots, n+m-1 \\ l=0, \dots, m-1}} \prod_{j=1}^n [\sqrt{w(y_j)} dy_j]
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.2.12}$$

with b as in equation (2.2.2). Integrating successively over the alternate variables y_1, y_3, y_5, \dots and then removing the restriction over the remaining variables y_2, y_4, \dots as indicated at the end of section 2.1.3 above, one obtains for even n

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1(n, m; x) &= b \frac{n!}{[n/2]!} \int \cdots \int \det [G_k(y_{2j}), P_k(y_{2j}), P_k^{(l)}(x)]_{\substack{j=1, \dots, [n/2] \\ k=0, \dots, n+m-1 \\ l=0, \dots, m-1}} \\
 &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{[n/2]} [\sqrt{w(y_{2j})} dy_{2j}]
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.2.13}$$

with

$$G_k(y_2) := \int^{y_2} P_k(y_1) \sqrt{w(y_1)} dy_1.
 \tag{2.2.14}$$

In the case where n is odd, one more column of the numbers $g_k := \int P_k(y) \sqrt{w(y)} dy$ appears in the determinant just after the column of $P_k(y_{n-1})$.

The present situation is exactly as in the case $\beta = 4$ with each variable occurring in two columns. Expanding the determinant one sees that the result contains the expressions

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int [G_j(y) P_k(y) - G_k(y) P_j(y)] \sqrt{w(y)} dy &= \iint P_j(x) P_k(y) \text{sign}(y - x) \sqrt{w(x)w(y)} dx dy \\
 &= \langle P_j, P_k \rangle_1.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.2.15}$$

If we choose $P_j(x) = R_j(x)$, equation (1.7), then writing the result separately for even and odd n for clarity, one has

$$I_1(2n, m; x) = b (2n)! \sum_{(s)} r_{s_1} \cdots r_{s_n} \det [R_{j_k}^{(l-1)}(x)]_{k, l=1, \dots, m}
 \tag{2.2.16}$$

where the sum is taken over all choices of $s_1 < \cdots < s_n$ such that $2s_1, 2s_1 + 1, \dots, 2s_n, 2s_n + 1, j_1, \dots, j_m$ are all the indices from 0 to $2n + m - 1$ and moreover $j_1 < \cdots < j_m$, and

$$I_1(2n + 1, m; x) = b (2n + 1)! \sum_{(s)} r_{s_1} \cdots r_{s_n} \det [g_{j_k}, R_{j_k}^{(l-1)}(x)]_{k=1, \dots, m+1; l=1, \dots, m}
 \tag{2.2.17}$$

where now the sum is taken over all choices of $s_1 < \cdots < s_n$ such that $2s_1, 2s_1 + 1, \dots, 2s_n, 2s_n + 1, j_1, \dots, j_m, j_{m+1}$ are all the indices from 0 to $2n + m$ and moreover $j_1 < \cdots < j_{m+1}$.

⁶ See, e.g., [1] chapter 7.1.2

Note that equations (1.8), (1.11) and (1.13) are particular cases ($m = 1$) of equations (2.2.5), (2.2.11) and (2.2.16), respectively. If we shift the index of the last row in the right-hand side of equation (2.2.7) for $m = 1$ from n to $n + 1$, i.e. replace the last row

$$[P_n(y_j), P'_n(y_j), P_n(x)]$$

by the row

$$[P_{n+1}(y_j), P'_{n+1}(y_j), P_{n+1}(x)]$$

we obtain the integrand of equation (1.12). Following the procedure that leads to equation (2.2.11) we obtain equation (1.12). Similarly, if we shift the index of the last row from n to $n + 1$ in the right-hand side of equation (2.2.12) for $m = 1$ and follow the procedure leading to equation (2.2.16) we obtain equation (1.14).

3. Special case of the Gaussian weight

These formulas for $I_\beta(n, m; x)$ will have little use if one does not know the polynomials $C_n(x)$, $Q_n(x)$ or $R_n(x)$. Fortunately one knows them for almost all classical weights. As an example we give them here for the Gaussian weight $w(x) = e^{-x^2}$ over $[-\infty, \infty]$ in terms of the Hermite polynomials $H_n(x) := e^{x^2}(-d/dx)^n e^{-x^2}$. Their verification is straightforward. One has

$$C_n(x) = 2^{-n} H_n(x) \tag{3.1}$$

$$c_n = 2^{-n} n! \sqrt{\pi} \tag{3.2}$$

$$Q_{2n}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n 2^{-2j} \frac{n!}{j!} H_{2j}(x) \quad Q_{2n+1}(x) = 2^{-2n-1} H_{2n+1}(x) \tag{3.3}$$

$$q_n = 2^{-2n} (2n + 1)! \sqrt{\pi} \tag{3.4}$$

$$R_{2n}(x) = 2^{-2n} H_{2n}(x) \quad R_{2n+1}(x) = 2^{-2n} [x H_{2n}(x) - H'_{2n}(x)] \tag{3.5}$$

$$r_n = 2^{1-2n} (2n)! \sqrt{\pi}. \tag{3.6}$$

In this case some results can be given other forms. In particular, using the recurrence relation

$$2xH_n(x) = H_{n+1}(x) + H'_n(x) \tag{3.7}$$

or

$$xC_n(x) = C_{n+1}(x) + \frac{1}{2}C'_n(x) \tag{3.8}$$

one can replace the $C_{n+k}^{(l)}$ in the determinant (2.2.5) by $C_{n+k+l}(x)$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(n, m; x) &= b n! c_0 \dots c_{n-1} (-2)^{m(m-1)/2} \det [C_{n+j+k}(x)]_{j,k=0,1,\dots,m-1} \\ &= \pi^{n/2} \frac{2^{-n(n-1)/2} \prod_{j=0}^n j!}{(-2)^{-m(m-1)/2} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} j!} \det [C_{n+j+k}(x)]_{j,k=0,1,\dots,m-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

In addition, for any non-negative integer j [9]

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (y-x)^j e^{-y^2} dy = \sqrt{\pi} i^j C_j(ix) \tag{3.10}$$

with $i = \sqrt{-1}$. Therefore, choosing $P_j(y-x) = \pi_j(y-x) = (y-x)^j$ in equations (2.1.19) and (2.1.20), we obtain

$$\varphi_{2;j,k}(x) = \sqrt{\pi} i^{m+j+k} C_{m+j+k}(ix) \tag{3.11}$$

$$\varphi_{4;j,k}(x) = \sqrt{\pi} i^{m+j+k-1} (k-j) C_{m+j+k-1}(ix) \tag{3.12}$$

and equations (2.1.16) and (2.1.17) then give

$$I_2(n, m; x) = (-i)^{mn} n! \pi^{n/2} (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \det [C_{m+j+k}(ix)]_{j,k=0,\dots,n-1} \quad (3.13)$$

$$I_4(n, m; x) = (-i)^{mn} n! \pi^{n/2} \text{pf}[(k-j)C_{m+j+k-1}(ix)]_{j,k=0,\dots,2n-1}. \quad (3.14)$$

Equations (3.9) and (3.13) give a relation almost symmetric in n and m . Writing them again

$$\frac{I_2(n, m; x)}{I_2(m, n; ix)} = (-i)^{mn} \frac{\pi^{n/2} 2^{-n(n-1)/2} \prod_{j=0}^n j!}{\pi^{m/2} 2^{-m(m-1)/2} \prod_{j=0}^m j!} \quad (3.15)$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{\det [C_{n+j+k}(x)]_{j,k=0,\dots,m-1}}{\det [C_{m+j+k}(ix)]_{j,k=0,\dots,n-1}} = (-i)^{mn} \frac{(-2)^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} j!}{(-2)^{m(m-1)/2} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} j!}. \quad (3.16)$$

Equations (3.15) and (3.16) appear in [10] as equations (4.43) and (4.44).

Equations (2.2.11) and (3.14) give another identity relating the Pfaffian

$$\text{pf}[(k-j)C_{2m+j+k-1}]_{j,k=0,\dots,2n-1}$$

to a sum of $m \times m$ determinants.

Forrester and Witte [10] state that the identity

$$\frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Delta_n(\mathbf{y})|^{2\gamma} \prod_{j=1}^n [(x - y_j)^m e^{-y_j^2} dy_j]}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Delta_m(\mathbf{y})|^{2/\gamma} \prod_{j=1}^m [(ix - y_j)^n e^{-y_j^2} dy_j]} = \text{const} \quad (3.17)$$

is valid for any γ . This is not true for $\gamma \neq 1$ as verified below for $\{n, m\} = \{n, 1\}$, $\{2, 2\}$ and $\{3, 2\}$. For values of a and γ such that the integrals below exist, letting

$$\int d\mu(\mathbf{y}) f(\mathbf{y}) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Delta_n(\mathbf{y})|^{2\gamma} \prod_{j=1}^n (e^{-ay_j^2} dy_j) f(\mathbf{y}) \quad (3.18)$$

$$\langle f(\mathbf{y}) \rangle := \int d\mu(\mathbf{y}) f(\mathbf{y}) \div \int d\mu(\mathbf{y}) \quad (3.19)$$

$$\mathcal{I}(\gamma, a, n, m; x) := \int d\mu(\mathbf{y}) \prod_{j=1}^n (x - y_j)^m = \mathcal{I}(\gamma, a, n, 0; x) \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^n (x - y_j)^m \right\rangle \quad (3.20)$$

where $\langle \prod_{j=1}^n (x - y_j)^m \rangle$ is a polynomial in x whose coefficients can be evaluated using the expressions given in, for example, [7] chapter 17 equations (17.8.5)–(17.8.9), (17.6.7).

For any n and $m = 1$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{I}(\gamma, a, n, 1; x)}{\mathcal{I}(\gamma, a, n, 0; x)} &= \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^n (x - y_j) \right\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (-1)^j \langle y_1 \dots y_j \rangle x^{n-j} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{2j} \left(-\frac{\gamma}{2a}\right)^j \frac{(2j)!}{2^j j!} x^{n-2j} \\ &= \left(\frac{\gamma}{4a}\right)^{n/2} H_n \left(x \sqrt{\frac{a}{\gamma}}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

whereas for $n = 1$ and any m

$$\frac{\mathcal{I}(\gamma, a, 1, m; x)}{\mathcal{I}(\gamma, a, 1, 0; x)} = \langle (x - y_1)^m \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} (-1)^j \langle y_1^j \rangle x^{m-j}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} \binom{m}{2j} \frac{(2j)!}{2^{2j} j!} a^{-j} x^{m-2j} \\
 &= (4a)^{-m/2} i^{-m} H_m(ix\sqrt{a}).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.22}$$

Therefore for any γ, γ', a and n , one verifies that

$$\frac{\mathcal{I}(\gamma, a, n, 1; x)}{\mathcal{I}(\gamma', a/\gamma, 1, n; ix)} = (-i)^n \frac{\mathcal{I}(\gamma, a, n, 0; x)}{\mathcal{I}(\gamma', a/\gamma, 1, 0; ix)}
 \tag{3.23}$$

is a constant independent of x .

For $n = m = 2$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\mathcal{I}(\gamma, a, 2, 2; x)}{\mathcal{I}(\gamma, a, 2, 0; x)} &= \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^2 (x - y_j)^2 \right\rangle = x^4 + 2\langle y_1^2 \rangle x^2 + \langle y_1^2 y_2^2 \rangle \\
 &= x^4 + \frac{1}{a}(1 - \gamma)x^2 + \frac{1}{4a^2}(1 + \gamma + \gamma^2) \\
 &= \frac{\mathcal{I}(1/\gamma, a/\gamma, 2, 2; ix)}{\mathcal{I}(1/\gamma, a/\gamma, 2, 0; ix)}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.24}$$

For $n = 3$ and $m = 2$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\mathcal{I}(\gamma, a, 3, 2; x)}{\mathcal{I}(\gamma, a, 3, 0; x)} &= \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^3 (x - y_j)^2 \right\rangle \\
 &= x^6 + 3\langle y_1^2 \rangle x^4 + 4\langle y_1 y_2 \rangle x^4 + 3\langle y_1^2 y_2^2 \rangle x^2 + 4\langle y_1^2 y_2 y_3 \rangle x^2 + \langle y_1^2 y_2^2 y_3^2 \rangle \\
 &= x^6 + \frac{3}{2a}(1 - 2\gamma)x^4 + \frac{3}{4a^2}(1 - \gamma + 3\gamma^2)x^2 + \frac{1}{8a^3}(1 + 3\gamma + 5\gamma^2)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.25}$$

whereas for $n = 2$ and $m = 3$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\mathcal{I}(\gamma, a, 2, 3; x)}{\mathcal{I}(\gamma, a, 2, 0; x)} &= \left\langle \prod_{j=1}^2 (x - y_j)^3 \right\rangle \\
 &= x^6 + 3(2\langle y_1^2 \rangle + 3\langle y_1 y_2 \rangle)x^4 + 3(2\langle y_1^3 y_2 \rangle + 3\langle y_1^2 y_2^2 \rangle)x^2 + \langle y_1^3 y_2^3 \rangle \\
 &= x^6 + \frac{3}{2a}(2 - \gamma)x^4 + \frac{3}{4a^2}(3 - \gamma + \gamma^2)x^2 - \frac{\gamma}{8a^3}(5 + 3\gamma + \gamma^2)
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.26}$$

hence

$$\frac{\mathcal{I}(\gamma, a, 3, 2; x)}{\mathcal{I}(\gamma, a, 3, 0; x)} = -\frac{\mathcal{I}(1/\gamma, a/\gamma, 2, 3; ix)}{\mathcal{I}(1/\gamma, a/\gamma, 2, 0; ix)}.
 \tag{3.27}$$

Selberg's integral also gives the constant⁷

$$\mathcal{I}(\gamma, a, n, 0; x) = \pi^{n/2} 2^{-\gamma n(n-1)/2} a^{-\gamma n(n-1)/2 - n/2} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(1 + j\gamma)}{\Gamma(1 + \gamma)}.
 \tag{3.28}$$

Thus, what is probably true is

$$\frac{\mathcal{I}(\gamma, a, n, m; x)}{\mathcal{I}(1/\gamma, a/\gamma, m, n; ix)} = (-i)^{mn} \frac{\mathcal{I}(\gamma, a, n, 0; x)}{\mathcal{I}(1/\gamma, a/\gamma, m, 0; ix)}
 \tag{3.29}$$

a constant depending on γ, a, n and m . That is, the Gaussians in the numerator and denominator of equation (3.17) should have different variances. In particular,

$$\frac{I_4(n, m; x)}{I_1(m, n; ix)} = (-i)^{mn} \frac{\pi^{n/2} 2^{-n^2} \prod_{j=0}^n (2j)!}{2^{3m/2} \prod_{j=0}^m \Gamma(1 + j/2)}.
 \tag{3.30}$$

⁷ See, e.g., [7] chapter 17 equations (17.8.5)–(17.8.9), (17.6.7).

4. Conclusion

The m th moment of the characteristic polynomial of an $n \times n$ random matrix is expressed as: an $n \times n$ determinant, equations (2.1.4) and (2.1.16), or as an $m \times m$ determinant, equation (2.2.5), for the unitary ensemble ($\beta = 2$); as a Pfaffian of a $2n \times 2n$ anti-symmetric matrix, equations (2.1.10) and (2.1.17), or a sum of $m \times m$ determinants in the case where m is even, equation (2.2.11), for the symplectic ensemble ($\beta = 4$); as a Pfaffian of an $n \times n$ (resp. $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$) anti-symmetric matrix, equations (2.1.13) and (2.1.18), or a sum of $m \times m$ (resp. $(m + 1) \times (m + 1)$) determinants, equation (2.2.16), resp. (2.2.17), for the orthogonal ensemble ($\beta = 1$) for n even (resp. odd).

In the Gaussian case $w(y) = e^{-y^2}$ this leads to the remarkable identity almost symmetric in m and n , equation (3.15),

$$I_2(n, m; x) = \text{const } I_2(m, n; ix) \quad (4.1)$$

or, equation (3.16),

$$\det [H_{m+j+k}(x)]_{j,k=0,\dots,n-1} = \text{const } \det [H_{n+j+k}(ix)]_{j,k=0,\dots,m-1} \quad (4.2)$$

and another identity expressing $\text{pf} [(k - j)H_{2m+j+k}(ix)]_{j,k=0,\dots,2n-1}$, equation (3.14), as a sum of $m \times m$ determinants, equation (2.2.11). In addition, probably the remarkable general identity (3.29) and in particular (3.30) holds.

Acknowledgments

One of us (MLM) is grateful to A Edelman for asking the question about the moments of the characteristic polynomial. We thank P J Forrester for sending us the preprint [10] and indicating the relevant place in his paper with Baker. In particular, he indicated that in [10] equations (5.30a), (5.1) and (1.3a) relate the integral $\mathcal{I}(\beta/2, \beta/2, n, \beta; x)$, with our notations in equation (3.20), to a generalized Hermite polynomial that appears in equation (5.31) of [10] as the integral $\mathcal{I}(2/\beta, 1, \beta, n; ix)$. Thus it provides a proof of conjecture (3.29) in the special case $m = 2\gamma = 2a = \beta$. We are grateful to our colleague R Balian for critically reading and commenting upon the manuscript. In particular, he noted that if in equation (1.4) one replaces $\text{sign}(y - x)$ by $\text{sign}(y - x + \epsilon/2)$ and expands in powers of ϵ , then the three scalar products are just the first three terms in this expansion

$$\iint f(x)g(y)\text{sign}(y - x + \epsilon/2)\sqrt{w(x)w(y)} dx dy = \langle f, g \rangle_1 + \epsilon \langle f, g \rangle_2 + \frac{\epsilon^2}{8} \langle f, g \rangle_4 + \dots \quad (4.3)$$

Does this have some deeper significance and/or applications?

We are grateful to the referees for bringing [6, 8] to our attention.

References

- [1] See e.g., Mehta ML 1989 *Matrix Theory* Les Editions de Physique, 91944 Les Ulis Cedex, France appendix A.14
- [2] Mahoux G and Mehta M L 1991 A method of integration over matrix variables IV *J. Physique (France)* **1** 1093–108
- [3] See e.g., Erdélyi A *et al* (ed) 1953 Higher transcendental functions (*Bateman Manuscript Project vol 2*) (New York: McGraw-Hill) section 10.3
Szegő G 1939 *Orthogonal Polynomials* (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society) equation (2.2.10)
- [4] Eynard B 2000 Private communication and <http://xxx.lanl.cond-mat/0012046>
- [5] Brézin E and Hikami S 2000 Characteristic polynomials of random matrices *Commun. Math. Phys.* **214** 111–35

- [6] Keating J P and Snaith N C 2000 Random matrix theory and $\zeta(1/2 + it)$ *Commun. Math. Phys.* **214** 57–89
Keating J P and Snaith N C 2000 Random matrix theory and L -functions at $s = 1/2$ *Commun. Math. Phys.* **214** 91–110
Hughes C P, Keating J P and O’Connell N 2000 Random matrix theory and the derivative of the Riemann zeta function *Proc. R. Soc. A* **456** 2611–27
- [7] See e.g., Mehta M L 1991 *Random Matrices* (New York: Academic) chapter 6.5 and appendix A.18
- [8] de Bruijn N G 1955 On some multiple integrals involving determinants *J. Ind. Math. Soc.* **19** 133–51
- [9] See e.g., Erdélyi A *et al* (ed) 1953 Higher transcendental functions (*Bateman Manuscript Project vol 2*) (New York: McGraw-Hill) pp 30–31 section 10.13
- [10] Forrester P J and Witte N S Application of the τ -function theory of Painlevé equations to random matrices: PIV, PII and GUE *Preprint* equation (4.43) and the paragraph following equation (4.44)
See also, Baker T H and Forrester P J 1997 The Calogero–Sutherland model and generalized classical polynomials *Commun. Math. Phys.* **188** 175–216 around equations (5.30)–(5.33)